



# Understanding Venture Capital Economics: A Simple Guide for DoD Leaders

## Distinguishing VC Funds from VC-Backed Companies

### Purpose:

This short white paper explains, in plain language, the critical difference between **venture capital firms** and **VC-backed companies**—a distinction that is often misunderstood inside the Department of Defense. It is designed as a simple study guide and a shareable reference for senior acquisition leaders, including PEOs, CPEs, and program managers.

---

## 1. Two Different Entities, Two Different Economics

Although they're related, a **VC firm** and a **VC-backed company** have completely different economic models. Understanding the difference is essential when thinking about DoD incentives, profitability, margins, and industrial participation.

### A. Venture Capital Firm (the Fund)

A VC firm raises a pool of money (a **fund**) from limited partners (LPs) such as pension funds, endowments, wealthy individuals, and strategic investors. The VC firm is responsible for:

- Deploying the capital into early-stage companies (Seed, Series A, Series B)
- Helping those companies grow
- Achieving **large exits** that return significant value to LPs

### How a VC Fund Makes Money

A VC fund earns returns **only when portfolio companies exit**, meaning:

- Acquisition (company is bought)
- Initial Public Offering (IPO)
- Secondary sale of the fund's equity (rare)

It does *not* make money from:

- Revenue sharing
- Profit sharing
- Dividends
- Routine operational cash flow



## Why VC Funds Target ~30% IRR

A typical VC portfolio contains **20–30 companies**. The reality is:

- Many will fail outright
- Several will survive but never return meaningful value
- **One or two** must become massive “winners” that return **3–10×** the invested capital

Those one or two companies must be valuable enough to:

- Cover all the failures
- Provide the expected yearly return to LPs
- Justify the risk taken

This portfolio logic is the foundation of the ~30% IRR expectation.

### Key point:

**A VC fund relies on big exits, not operating profits.** Its financial health depends on the *value* of its portfolio companies, not the *annual performance* of those companies.

---

## B. VC-Backed Company (the Startup)

A VC-backed company is the entity receiving investment. It uses the injected capital to:

- Build product
- Grow revenue
- Capture market share
- Increase valuation
- Position itself for an exit (acquisition or IPO)

### How VC-Backed Companies Make Money

A VC-backed company makes money the same way any commercial entity does:

- By selling a product or service
- **At sufficient margin** to scale the business

But the *owners and investors* only realize financial gain when the company:

- Exits through sale or IPO, or
- Negotiates a secondary transaction (rare)



## Why Margins Matter for VC-Backed Companies

**Margins** drive **enterprise value**.

Enterprise value drives **exit value**.

Exit value drives **fund returns**.

If DoD suppresses margins using:

- AUMC-driven affordability targets
- FAR Part 15 cost-reasonableness logic applied to commercial tech
- Suspicion of high efficiency or lean cost structures

...then VC-backed companies cannot achieve the valuation increases needed to justify investment.

**Key point:**

**VC-backed companies need real margin to become valuable enough for a meaningful exit.**

---

## Bridge: How Big Exits Depend on DoD's Value System

### A Needed Bridge: How the Big Exit Depends on DoD's Value System

A VC fund survives on one or two **big exits**—the companies that return 3× to 10× their valuation and carry the entire portfolio.

That raises the obvious question: *What conditions are required for a VC-backed company to become that big exit?*

The answer is straightforward:

- the company must grow revenue,
- scale production,
- maintain **meaningful margins**, and
- operate in a market where customers pay real prices for real capability.

This is where the DoD becomes a critical customer and, at the same time, where VC-backed companies often collide head-on with the Department's axiological mindset. When DoD suppresses margins, demands cost visibility inconsistent with commercial practice, or sends unpredictable demand signals, it directly undermines the very conditions required for a breakout exit. In short, the VC fund's model and DoD's value system are often misaligned at the exact moment when alignment matters most.



## 2. Why DoD Needs to Understand This Distinction

DoD often collapses the VC firm and the VC-backed company into one idea, but their incentives—and financial realities—are very different.

### What the VC Firm Needs

- Big exits from 1–2 companies out of 20–30
- High valuations driven by strong margins and growth
- Predictable timelines for capital return
- Clear demand signals (P-Go) from DoD

### What the VC-Backed Company Needs

- Pricing models that allow **meaningful margin**
- Contracting pathways that reward efficiency, not penalize it
- Shorter cycles and stable demand
- Opportunity to scale (not just prototype)

### What Happens if DoD Gets This Wrong

- VC funds won't invest in defense-relevant startups
- VC-backed companies won't scale into production
- Promising dual-use technology dies in the “valley of death”
- The primes retain their dominant market share by default
- The innovation base atrophies

**This is an axiological issue.**

It's about what DoD values: control, predictability, low margin—versus growth, adaptability, and speed.

---

## 3. Simple Summary

- ✓ VC funds need big exits to repay LPs.
- ✓ VC-backed companies need **healthy margins** to generate those exits.
- ✓ DoD's pricing and contracting system currently prevents both from happening.

Until DoD aligns its values, incentives, and economics with modern capital markets, private capital will remain at the prototype stage—not the production stage.